TJN Background Note: European money in Switzerland

This background note accompanies our Press Release.

1. The Rubik model: background

The "Rubik" model has two parts. **First**, there is a one-off, lump sum capital payment (to account for evaded past taxes.) An Italian deal has not been signed so we do not know the rate, but the UK deal levies 19-34 percent of the average value of the capital over the past 10 years, and the average rate is <u>expected</u> at 20-25%. Once this is paid, all past criminal liabilities are cleared. **Second**, the accounts incur withholding taxes on the subsequent income, which in the UK's case ranges between 27-48 percent.

The Tax Justice Network carried out a <u>forensic analysis</u> of Britain's bilateral "Rubik"tax deal with Switzerland, showing that Britain would be lucky if it directly raised one tenth of the £4-7 billion in tax revenues that the politicians have been promising. We have publicly and repeatedly <u>challenged</u> the UK tax authorities, the Swiss tax authorities, the Swiss Bankers' Association and several private tax adviser to find faults in our analysis. None have been found. The European Commission objected to parts of the UK agreement and so it was <u>amended</u> in March, weakening the agreement further.

Germany's Rubik deal, originally pushed forwards by Finance Minister Wolfgang Schäuble for mysterious reasons, has not been ratified, and it seems to be falling apart as German politicians wake up to the loopholes and to its ethical and democratic implications. Germany's *Die Zeit* newspaper <u>said</u>:

"Most likely, the [Swiss] tax agreement with Germany is doomed to fail."

If Germany's deal fails then Britain's <u>may well</u> fall apart too. If these countries turn against Rubik then any other that *were* to sign risk conflict with those wiser European partners who have shunned the Swiss swindle.

2. The Rubik loopholes

Here are the main loopholes in the Rubik model¹:

• Foundations, discretionary trusts and other 'ownerless' structures -standard tax evasion vehicles – are deliberately and explicitly outside Rubik's scope. Such structures are slippery: while they will ultimately benefit someone (an Italian tycoon, say), that person is not

¹ The Swiss Bankers' Association and others have said that our analysis of the loopholes in Rubik is wrong, because "every beneficial owner has to pay the tax." That statement <u>in itself</u> is mostly true, but entirely misleading. The *whole point* of Rubik's loopholes is that you escape being taxed by avoiding being classed as the beneficial owner! Their statement is a bit like saying 'if you get caught in the net, we will catch you' – then whispering '*hey! the trick is: stay away from the net!*"

legally identified as the beneficial owner or beneficiary: the assets are 'ownerless' and therefore outside the scope of a Rubik deal (see Section 3.1. <u>here</u>)

- **Insurance 'wrappers.'** An insurance 'wrapper' is bit like a trust, where the Italian tax evader is entitled to all the <u>economic</u> benefits from the assets in question, but <u>legally</u> speaking it is the insurance company that is the beneficial owner. The legal beneficial owner is not identified as Italian, so it is outside the scope of a Rubik deal.²
- **Commercial companies.** Only domiciliary companies falling under Swiss definitions are in scope – and that excludes any untaxed offshore company from somewhere like the Cayman Islands, for instance, where it can be pretended they have a 'commercial' purpose.
- **Fees, donations, loans, royalties**. Rubik only includes investment gains on "bankable" assets. So if your assets are in a safe deposit box in Zürich, or your profits are distributed as, say, a 'consulting fee', these assets are not 'bankable" and are outside Rubik's scope.
- **Foreign bank accounts**. Move your assets from a Swiss subsidiary of the bank to a Singapore subsidiary, and you fall out of scope.
- **Defer, then move.** Rubik lets you defer all your income until you move to another country. So you might set up a deferred pension then retire to sunny Portugal with your untaxed pension pot, which no bilateral Swiss-Italian deal could touch. Only the EU's multilateral approach could work.

3. Using the European Savings Tax Directive data to calculate possible maximum Rubik revenues for Italy

Using public data, we can make a very rough estimate of the maximum amount of money Italy could raise from a Swiss "Rubik" tax agreement. We can work this out from data on an existing tax scheme, the European Savings Tax Directive (EUSTD), which is similar enough to Rubik to be able to make a rough estimate.

The EUSTD is a transparency initiative to help European countries tax crossborder income. Switzerland, though not an EU member, is one of the 42 EUSTD participating members. Most EUSTD participants share information about each others' taxpayers' income, though several have opted instead to withhold taxes anonymously and transfer this revenue (after deducting a 25% fee) to the taxpayer's home jurisdiction, while preserving the taxpayer's secrecy.

A large majority of European taxpayers using Swiss banks evade the Directive, but those who do submit to it have two options: <u>either</u> they pay a withholding tax on the interest income earned from their Swiss banks, <u>or</u> their income is declared to their home country. **Table 1** provides the data.

² Rubik claims that it covers insurance wrappers: but what the Swiss bankers fail to advertise is that only *Swiss* insurance wrappers are in scope: Non-Swiss ones slip the net.

| Country* | 1. Sums | 2. Sum | 3. Interest | 4. Memo: No. |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | <u>remitted</u> by | <u>withheld</u> by | declared, | of citizens |
| | Switzerland | Switzerland | CHFm, 2011 | declaring |
| | CHFm, 2011** | CHFm 2011 * | | |
| | | | | |
| Austria | 10.9 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 567 |
| Belgium | 17.1 | 22.8 | 32.5 | 1189 |
| Britain | 21.4 | 28.5 | 68.5 | 2979 |
| Germany | 122.1 | 162.8 | 420.0 | 31,991 |
| Greece | 11.4 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 1,360 |
| Italy | 65.8 | 87.7 | 16.6 | 915 |
| Luxembourg | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 36 |
| | | | | |
| Total (all EU | 379.9 | 506.3 | 713.0 | - |
| countries) | | | | |

Table 1: performance of the EU Savings Tax Directive in Switzerland, selected countries, 2011. Source: <u>EU-Zinsbesteuerung</u>, Confederation Suisse, 2012

* The countries selected are those that have signed or shown interest in a Swiss Rubik deal. ** The amount remitted to the taxpayer's home country is 75% of the total amount withheld by Switzerland. Switzerland keeps the remaining 25% as a fee. So col. 2 is col. 1 divided by 0.75. **Memo**: the CHF Swiss Franc is currently fixed at 0.83 Euros.

3.1 How do we use EUSTD data to calculate likely maximum Rubik revenues?

The EUSTD and Rubik cover the same universe of European taxpayers.

The EUSTD is currently riddled with loopholes – essentially the same main loopholes in the Rubik model (though Rubik has a broader definition of 'income'.) Those who escaped the EUSTD have already decided to engage in criminal tax evasion, and as Section 2.1 below explains the incentive to evade Rubik is <u>massively</u> higher than the incentive to evade the EUSTD. So those who have escaped the EUSTD will escape Rubik too: we can disregard them.

That leaves those taxpayers who are 'captured' by the EUSTD: essentially, those referred to in Table 1 above: a) those whose income is declared; and b) those where the EUSTD withholds taxes on income and remits it to their home country.

We can also disregard those taxpayers who have opted to have their income declared to their home country, since their Swiss accounts are transparent and clean and therefore outside Rubik's scope. What is left is the assets on which the EUSTD withholds and remits taxes. This is the asset base that Rubik has at least some hope of capturing. If we know that asset base, we can apply Rubik's tax rates to work out potential revenues.

3.2 How do the tax rates differ between the EUSTD and Rubik?

The effective tax rate under Rubik is massively higher than the EUSTD tax rate, so the incentive to evade Rubik is massively higher too.

The EUSTD only collects taxes on *income*, at 35%. So \$1 million earning 3 percent in 2012 would yield \$30,000, subject to \$10,500 tax.

The Rubik model, by contrast, levies <u>both</u> income taxes <u>and</u> a one-off capital charge on the total asset value, typically at 20-25%. So that \$1m asset produces a \$200-250,000 charge: twenty to twenty-five times what the EUSTD raises – plus ongoing taxes on income!

This tantalisingly huge capital charge, which is justified as a one-off payment to compensate for past tax crimes and for the guarantee of ongoing secrecy, is the fabled bounty that has been used to lure Germany and other countries into signing Rubik deals with Switzerland.

But remember the old adage: if it seems too good to be true, it probably is.

3.3 How much money might Italy earn from a Rubik deal?

To work out how much of this bounty Italy might actually earn, we work backwards from Table 1 to estimate the size of the undeclared Italian assets that the EUSTD 'captures': that is, the Italian-owned assets in Swiss banks that produce those withheld taxes (Table 1, col. 2 above.)

We know that Rubik's potential asset pool is somewhat larger than the EUSTD's pool, because Rubik targets a <u>broader definition</u> of income than the EUSTD does. The EUSTD covers only fixed income products, which represent 55% of the total potential assets in a typical Swiss portfolio;³ Rubik's potential asset pool includes other assets such as equities, and is therefore 1.8 (1 divided by 0.55) times larger than the asset pool potentially covered by the EUSTD. So we multiply our number for Italian assets 'captured' by the EUSTD by -1.8 to get an estimate for the size of Italian assets potentially 'captured' by a Swiss Rubik deal.

Once we have that, we apply the 20-25% estimated capital charge (see Section 2.1 above) to those assets, to produce an estimated revenue sum from the one-off capital charge.

But we also know from Section 2 that – given the massively higher incentive to avoid Rubik, and its many easy loopholes – this figure for the potential capital charge must be the <u>extreme upper limit</u> of what Rubik might capture. The true figure must be far smaller, since many more people will want to evade Rubik.

3.4 The Rubik capital charge: details

³ Clients investing in Swiss banks usually have particularly conservative portfolios: Helvea <u>estimated</u> 50-60% in fixed income and cash.

To calculate the size of undeclared Italian assets 'captured' by the EUSTD, we take the data for taxes <u>withheld</u> by Switzerland and remitted to Italy under the EUSTD, then assume a reasonable 3% interest rate and a 27.5% tax rate, to estimate the underlying asset base. (See Table 2 below.)

| Country | Taxes withheld CHFm, 2011 | "Withheld:" Implied assets CHF bn |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | |
| Austria | 13.5 | 1.7 |
| Belgium | 22.8 | 2.8 |
| Britain | 28.5 | 3.5 |
| Germany | 162.8 | 19.7 |
| Greece | 15.2 | 1.8 |
| Italy | 87.7 | 10.6 |
| Luxembourg | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| | | |
| All Europe | <u>506.3</u> | <u>61.3</u> |

Table 2: Implied assets 'captured' by EUSTD, by country

So the EU STD 'captured' roughly CHF 10.6 bn, (or \in 8.8bn) of Italian assets last year, through the withholding tax option.

Because Rubik covers a broader definition of interest income, including equities as Section 3.0 above explains, we multiply this number by 1.8, to get a maximum $\pounds 16$ billion of Italian assets potentially captured by a Swiss Rubik deal.

So the Rubik capital charge, at an expected average 20-25% rate of the \in 16 billion asset base, raises an approximate absolute maximum \leq 4bn for Italy.

<u>Reminder</u>: this is a one-off charge, never to be repeated, and because of the easy loopholes and massively higher incentive for evasion, this number is an <u>extreme</u> <u>upper limit</u> to the possible revenues: there is *no way at all* that Italy could get anything close to \notin 4bn.

3.5 The ongoing income taxes, inheritance taxes.

If Italy did sign a deal, it may well negotiate an inheritance tax addition to its agreement. How much would this raise?

Essentially, we can disregard the ongoing income taxes levied under Rubik because to the extent that the relevant assets are 'captured,' Italy is already receiving them under the EUSTD.

Assume that 2.5% of taxpayers die each year, and a 50% inheritance tax rate on the assets when the taxpayer dies, we would see annual income of 1.25% of the value of the assets. In Italy's case, with an absolute maximum \notin 16bn asset base 'captured' by Rubik, that would earn an absolute maximum of \notin 200 million per year over and above what the current EUSTD would yield.

Again, this number is an <u>extreme upper limit</u>, not a forecast – and if and when the EUSTD Amendments are passed – if and when the "Rubik" blocker is swept out of the way – it would raise far greater sums than that.

The implications for Italy

The Tax Justice Network recently estimated that there are \$21-32 trillion in financial assets owned offshore, essentially beyond the reach of tax authorities. Former Greek Prime Minister Papandreou, citing these figures in August, <u>said</u> that if offshore tax havens had been properly tackled, Greece would probably have avoided a bailout. There are indeed many billions in Greek (and Italian) assets in Swiss banks – but Rubik won't catch them.

Media reports which <u>have suggested</u> that Italy might reap 30 billion Euros from a Swiss tax deal are nonsense. Italy could earn only a small fraction of this amount. It is in the interest of Swiss bankers to exaggerate these figures, since it provides a tantalising prospect of huge revenues to be tapped.

Even the <u>maximum possible</u> revenues for Italy from the one-off charge is hardly significant when compared to Italy's <u>€70bn budget deficit</u> last year, and the ongoing maximum inheritance tax income would represent less than 0.1% of the deficit.

The price Italian and European citizens will pay for this is colossal: Rubik not only guarantees secrecy and impunity for criminal tax-evading élites, but it will sabotage the key EUSTD Amendments which would close most of the big loopholes and collect far greater sums than Rubik ever could.

The overall effect will be to <u>reduce</u> tax collections in Italy and in Europe.

In short, the "Rubik" tax model is a Swiss swindle.

END